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ABSTRACT

Violence is a common public problem in the society. Furthermore, the aggressive and violent behaviors of adolescents have negative impacts on the society. Exposure to violence has been shown to negatively affect success, motivation and mental health. The goal of the study was to describe the attitudes to the violence in a sample of Turkish different branches teachers. Three types of attitudes were assessed: Crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence. Data were obtained from 149 Turkish different branch teachers. To measure the attitude of different branches teachers’ towards to violence a 17 item likert scale developed by Davidson ve Canivez (2012) and adapted into Turkish by Akın et al. (2016) was used. The skewness and kurtosis normality distribution test was used to determine whether the measurements are suitable for normality. As a result, t-test and One way - Anova tests were applied because of the normal distribution in all dimensions. And post hock sheff tests were used to determine the source of the difference. In conclusion, general attitudes of the teachers towards violence and crime and war scores were about mid level, corporal punishment scores and partner violence were significantly lower. The results highlight the importance of research on violence attitudes to assess gender differences. So men have higher attitudes to the violence than the women.
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INTRODUCTION

Violence is a global public health problem with short term and long-term consequences (World Health Organization, 2002). The way in which violence is manifested does not limit itself to the act of harming someone by using only elements that contain force. At the same time, violence has a dimension that creates mental pressure and creates negative effects on the individual (Ünsal, 1996). In the definition made by Erol Mutlu (1997), violence is the most common form of behavior associated with aggression. Violence is seen as a concept that has taken place in every stage of human development and has been examined. Adolescence is one of these periods. While adolescence is defined as a period of storm and stress on one hand, it is seen as a period in which adolescence's individuality develops (Adams, 1995). Although the effects of violence are different, more than one million people in the world die every year due to violence and are injured as a result of violence against them or mass violence. Violence is the leading cause of death among individuals aged 15-44 worldwide (Krug et al., 2002). WHO states that the causes of violence cannot be explained based on a single factor. The causes of violence are complex and consist of different levels (Krug et al., 2002).

According to Vernberg and Jacobs (1999), there is a relationship between positive attitude towards violence and aggression towards friends. A strong belief that aggression is acceptable and justified and that it is considered to be deserved, is associated with the victims’ violent behavior. Considering the attitude towards violence as one of the causes of violence behavior, it was thought that the attitude towards violence should be measured. Although the connection is complex, there is a relationship...
between attitudes and behaviors. First, behavior affects attitude and behaviors are attitudes (Smith and Mackie, 1995). Second, attitudes also affect behavior. The positive or negative perspective towards the attitude object may have an effect on behaviors (Smith and Mackie, 1995). The fact that the behaviors and attitudes are related does not mean that this relationship always follows each other. Sometimes a person can watch violent movies, even if they hate violence in the media. Because attitude is just one of many factors that affect behavior. The effect of attitudes on behavior is sometimes weakened, may disappear and social norms may become more important to the person. When norms are weaker or there is no social pressure, attitudes affect behavior more (Smith and Mackie, 1995).

The relationship between attitude and behavior is also affected by the nature of the attitude. Features that can affect this relationship; the degree of coherence between cognitive and emotional elements, whether the attitude is the basis of personal experiences, the person’s attitude. It is influenced by its acceptance and whether it has become stationary over time (Michener et al., 1990; Akt. Kağıtşbaşi, 1999). Another feature that affects the relationship between attitudes and behavior is the past is the experience. If the person has behaved in the past, he will probably be in the future. Therefore, by looking at the past behavior of the person, it can be estimated whether he/she has an attitude towards an object and whether he will behave in the future. Ajzen stated the person’s attitude towards behavior as one of the factors affecting the intention to do the behavior. Attitude intention and intention also affect behavior (Michener et al., 1990; Akt. Kağıtşbaşi, 1999). As a result, if the person has a strong attitude towards something and if the environmental effects encourage the person, the relationship between behavior and attitude is strong and the probability of emergence increases. However, if the environmental effect does not match the person’s attitude or the attitude is weak, attitude and behavior are less affected by each other (Michener et al., 1990; Akt. Kağıtşbaşi, 1999). The fact that the school is a social institution, and it is directly based on human-human relations, brings with it some problems and situations that can turn into violence. Administrators, teachers, students and parents, who are in the school climate, are either the subject or object of these problems and violence (Tezcan, 2010). According to Meadows (2005), some of the general profile characteristics of the practitioners of violence against human resources are a history of violence, mental balance disorder, harmful substance habit, depression, passion for firearms, irritability disorder and personality disorder. Research results related to psychological burnout show that teachers experiencing psychological wear in schools accelerate loss of emotion and professional excitement and teachers lose the thought of maintaining the profession for a long time (Brouwers and Tomic, 1999). In schools where there is a lot of violence, it is necessary to determine the things to be done against the school rules and to determine the social rules by not following them and to publish and announce them. The club, which enables students to act together in schools, provides a safer school atmosphere for students with their sports activities. What the manager and all the teachers have to do here is that the students who are exposed to violence and who commit violence show a common stance in cooperation with their families and expressly declare that such behavior will not be tolerated (MEB, EARGED, 2008). The goal of this article is to describe the attitudes of the different branches teachers to the violence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research model

This study is a descriptive method aimed to investigate the various variables of sustainability of different branches teachers. Descriptive method is a research method aiming to define existing event / phenomenon without intervention of researcher (Karasar, 1995). Descriptive studies aim to define typical characteristics of a particular group and to make conclusions about how people in a particular group will behave in response to certain situations (Borg and Gall, 1989).

Research group

There were 149 teachers participating in Karaman Province. The research scale was voluntarily applied on teachers face to face by being interviewed where they learned.

Data collection tools

The data collection tools required to reach the determined objectives related to the research are given below:

Personal information form

In order to collect information about the personal characteristics of the participants and to establish the independent variables of the research, an information form consisting of 9 variables (Gender, marital status, age, branch, place of residence, doing sports, type of sports, sports year and difficulty in leisure time) were prepared by the researcher.

The attitudes toward violence scale (ATVS)

The Attitude Scale towards Violence, developed by Davidson and Canivez (2012) in order to evaluate the effects of violence on individuals, is a 7-point Likert-type
**Table 1**: Distribution of demographic characteristics of the sample group participated in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal features of participants</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>75.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39-46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 and +</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical education and sports teaching</strong></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Branch</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious culture teaching</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English teaching</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance teaching</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place of residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropole</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doing sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing sports</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not doing sports</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>72.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual sports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team sports</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sports year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2 Year</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 Year</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8 Year</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Year and +</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulty in leisure time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

measurement tool consisting of 17 items and three sub-dimensions. There are no inverse items in the scale. High scores obtained from the scale show that the attitude towards violence is high. Sub-dimensions of the scale: crime and war (7 items), corporal punishment (6 items) and partner violence (4 items). Internal consistency reliability coefficients of the scale were found to be 0.73 for crime and war sub-dimension, 0.91 for corporal punishment sub-dimension, 0.89 for partner violence sub-dimension and 0.91 for the entire scale.

**RESULTS**

**Personal characteristics of the research group**

The data and comments on the demographic characteristics of the participants are given below. According to Table 1, 38.9 % of the participants is female and 61.1 % is male. 24.8 % of the participants is single and 75.2 % is married. 23.5 % of the participants is between 23-30 year old, 36.9 % is between 31-38, 22.8 % is between 39-46 and 16.8 % is between 47 year-old and above. According to the branches of the teachers, 46.3 % of the teachers are physical education and sports teachers, 17.4 % of the teachers are religious culture teachers, 19.5 % of the teacher are english teachers and 16.8 % of the teachers is guidance teachers. According to the place of residence, 18.8% metropolitan, 62.4% the city and 18.8% town. 27.5% of the participants are teachers doing sports and 72.5% not doing sports. 16.8% of the participants is teachers doing individual sports and 10.7% doing team sports. According to sports year, 3.4% is between 0-2 years, 8.1% between 3-5 years, 4.0%
between 6-8 years and 12.1% between 9 years and over. According to the difficulty in evaluating leisure time, 26.2% of the participants have sometimes difficulty in leisure time is sometimes and 73.8% has never. In Table 2, the attitudes of the participants towards the total violence and sub-dimensions of the scale scores of the attitudes towards violence were analyzed. As a result of this review, the average score of the teachers who participated in the study about the total attitude towards violence is 3.88%. So, their attitude levels towards violence is close to the middle level. Crime and war average from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence is 4.69%. So it is close to medium level, corporal punishment average from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence is 1.99%. So it is low level. And also, partner violence average from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence is 1.99%. So it is again low level.

As seen in Table 3, the general attitude towards violence according to the gender variable was found statistically significant (t = -6.448; p < .05). The difference was in favor of female teachers. The crime and war dimension from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence scale according to the gender variable was found statistically significant (t = -6.307; p < .05). The difference was in favor of female teachers. The corporal punishment dimension from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence scale according to the gender variable was found statistically significant (t = -4.374; p < .05). The difference was in favor of female teachers. The partner violence dimension from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence scale according to the gender variable was found statistically significant (t = -5.453; p < .05). The difference was in favor of female teachers. In Table 4, as a result of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine whether the Attitude Against Violence scale dimensions Total Attitude towards violence and sub-dimensions arithmetic averages show a significant difference according to age variable. The difference between total attitude towards violence, crime and war dimension, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions and arithmetic averages of age variable groups were found statistically significant. Accordingly, it is seen that the participants who are between the ages of 23-30 in all dimensions have a low level attitude towards total violence compared to the participants between 39-46 and 47 and over.

In Table 5, as a result of the one-way variance analysis (ANOVA) conducted in order to determine whether the attitude towards violence against total attitude scale and sub-dimension arithmetic averages differ according to the branch variable, the attitude towards total violence, crime and war the difference between the size, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions and the arithmetic means of the branch variable groups was found statistically significant (p < .05). According to this, general attitude levels towards violence according to the branch variable, it is seen that the guidance teachers' attitude levels towards total violence are lower than physical education and sports teachers and religious culture teachers. According to the branch variable, the level of crime and war levels among the sub-dimensions of attitude scale towards violence, it is seen that the guidance teachers' crime and punishment attitude levels are lower compared to physical education and sports, religious culture and English teachers. Among the sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence scale, the levels of corporal punishment

### Table 2: Results of participants regarding general attitude and sub-dimension levels against violence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and war</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporal punishment</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner violence</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.463</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total attitudes toward violence</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Independent group t Test results to determine whether the attitude towards violence scale general and sub-dimension scores differ according to the gender variable of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>Sbg</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and war</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.9729</td>
<td>1.07687</td>
<td>0.14140</td>
<td>-6.307</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporal punishment</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5.1554</td>
<td>1.14001</td>
<td>0.11951</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner violence</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.3477</td>
<td>0.97447</td>
<td>0.12795</td>
<td>-4.374</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total attitudes toward violence</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.4103</td>
<td>1.67725</td>
<td>0.17582</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05
Table 4: One-way variance analysis (ANOVA) results to determine whether the attitude towards violence scale general and sub-dimension scores differ according to the age variable of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>KT</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>KO</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and War</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>23.705</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 31-38                  | 55 | 4.56  | 1.33 | Between Groups
|                        |  |       |      | Inner Groups
|                        |  |       |      | 208.893| 145  | 1.441| 5.485| 0.001*| 1-4 |
| 39-46                  | 34 | 5.07  | 1.11 | Between Groups
| 47 and +               | 25 | 5.24  | 0.98 | Inner Groups
|                        |    |       |      | Total                          | 232.598| 148  |     |     |
| Corporal Punishment    |    |       |      |      |      |      |     |     |
| 31-38                  | 55 | 1.65  | 1.20 | 20.840| 3    | 6.947| 20.840| 3    | 6.947|
| 39-46                  | 34 | 2.48  | 1.88 | Between Groups
| 47 and +               | 25 | 2.42  | 1.57 | Inner Groups
|                        |    |       |      | Total                          | 347.304| 148  |     |     |
| Partner Violence       |    |       |      |      |      |      |     |     |
| 23-30                  | 35 | 1.69  | 1.39 | 23.043| 3    | 7.681| 23.043| 3    | 7.681|
| 31-38                  | 55 | 1.67  | 1.05 | Between Groups
|                        |  |       |      | Inner Groups
|                        |  |       |      | 293.891| 145  | 2.027| 3.790| 0.012*| 1-3 |
| 39-46                  | 34 | 2.43  | 1.68 | Between Groups
| 47 and +               | 25 | 2.54  | 1.78 | Inner Groups
|                        |    |       |      | Total                          | 316.934| 148  |     |     |
| Total Attitudes Toward Violence |    |       |      |      |      |      |     |     |
| 23-30                  | 35 | 2.73  | 1.16 | 21.073| 3    | 7.024| 21.073| 3    | 7.024|
| 31-38                  | 55 | 2.85  | 0.97 | Between Groups
|                        |  |       |      | Inner Groups
|                        |  |       |      | 191.631| 145  | 1.322| 5.315| 0.002*| 1-3 |
| 39-46                  | 34 | 3.54  | 1.32 | Between Groups
| 47 and +               | 25 | 3.61  | 1.24 | Inner Groups
|                        |    |       |      | Total                          | 212.704| 148  |     |     |

*p<0.0

Table 5: One-way variance analysis (ANOVA) results to determine whether the attitude towards violence scale general and sub-dimension scores differ according to the branch variable of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>KT</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>KO</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and War</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education and Sports Teachers</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25.124</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Religious Cultural Teachers | 26 | 4.82  | 1.24 | Between Groups
| English Teachers       | 29 | 4.74  | 1.19 | Inner Groups
| Guide Teachers         | 25 | 3.80  | 1.05 | Total                          | 232.598| 148  |     |     |
| Corporal Punishment    |    |       |      |      |      |      |     |     |
| Physical Education and Sports Teachers | 69 | 2.05  | 1.41 | 41.963| 3    | 13.98| 4-1 |
| Religious Cultural Teachers | 26 | 2.95  | 2.05 | Between Groups
| English Teachers       | 29 | 1.68  | 1.37 | Inner Groups
|                        |    |       |      | Total                          | 347.304| 148  |     |     |

*4-1: *p<0.01*

*p<0.01*
Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>Shg</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partner Violence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education and Sports Teachers</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>53.186</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Cultural Teachers</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>Inner Groups</td>
<td>263.748</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Teachers</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>316.934</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide Teachers</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Attitudes Toward Violence</strong></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>30.988</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education and Sports Teachers</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>Inner Groups</td>
<td>181.716</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Cultural Teachers</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>212.704</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Teachers</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guide Teachers</strong></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05

Table 6: Independent group t-Test results to determine whether the attitude towards violence scale general and sub-dimension scores differ according to the variable of the participants' sports status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>Shg</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and war</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers doing sports</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>-2.477</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers not doing sports</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporal punishment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers doing sports</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>-2.586</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers not doing sports</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers doing sports</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-2.493</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers not doing sports</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total attitudes toward violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers doing sports</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>-2.973</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers not doing sports</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05

according to the branch variable show that religious culture teachers have a high level of attitude towards physical punishment compared to the English and guidance teachers. Among the sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence scale, the partner violence dimension levels are low compared to the branch variable, the guidance teachers' attitude levels towards the partner violence compared to the physical education and sports, religious culture and English teachers.In Table 6, the arithmetic of the general attitude dimension against violence according to the sports status of the independent group t test conducted in order to determine whether the teachers forming the sample show a significant difference in terms of the general attitude scale against violence and the sub-dimension scores according to the variables of the teachers doing sports. The difference between the means was found to be statistically significant (t = -2.477; p <.05). The difference occurred in favor of teachers not playing
The difference between the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence scale between arithmetic averages of crime and war according to the variable of doing sports was found statistically significant (t = -2.586; p < .05). The difference occurred in favor of teachers not playing sports. The difference between the sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence scale between arithmetic averages of the corporal punishment dimension according to the variable of doing sports was found statistically significant (t = -2.49; p < .05). The difference occurred in favor of teachers not playing sports. The difference between the sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence scale between arithmetic averages of the partner violence dimension according to the variable of doing sports was found statistically significant (t = -2.973; p < .05). The difference occurred in favor of non-sports teachers.

In Table 7, the general attitude scale against violence according to the type of sports, at the end of the independent group t test conducted in order to determine whether the teachers who make up the sample show a significant difference between the general attitude scale and sub-dimension scores of the teachers. The difference between the arithmetic means was statistically significant (t = -2.759; p < .05). This difference occurred in favor of the teachers doing team sports. The difference between the sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence scale and the corporal punishment dimension between arithmetic averages was found to be statistically significant (t = -2.710; p < .05). This difference occurred in favor of the teachers doing team sports.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

It was concluded that total attitude toward violence level of the teachers participating in the study and sub dimensions (crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence) of the attitude towards total violence Scale of the teachers' levels are low. It can be concluded that the reason for this is that they are not exposed to violence themselves. According to the study of Hatunoglu (2005), teachers think that violence is an inevitable consequence of the approach to the student. And also in another study, physical punishment is the most common violent behavior towards the student from the teacher (Gözütok, 1993). This result shows that it does not support the study. According to the gender variable, statistically significant difference was found between gender and the general attitude towards violence dimension, gender and crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions from sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence (p < .05). The difference was in favor of female teachers. It can be said that male students are more violent and are more aggressive, and are consistent with research results (Karağülmez et al., 2006; Kepenekçi, 2003; Pişkin, 2002). And also, in four separate studies on physical education and sports, primary education, secondary education and high school teachers, gender was not determined in terms of perception of psychological violence (Alkan et al., 2011). Avci (2010); found that men's tendency to violence is higher than women. In addition, Loeber (1998) concluded that men are more prone to violence than women. According to the age variable, statistically significant difference was found between age and the general attitude towards violence dimension, age and crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions from sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence (p < .05). Accordingly, it is seen that the participants who are between the ages of 23-30 in all dimensions have lower total attitude level towards violence than teachers between 39-46 and 47 and over. According to the Mete and his friends' study (2015), 31-40 age group is exposed to more mobbing behavior than 20-30 age group. It supports this study with the results. And also, Genitty et

**Table 7: Independent Group t Test Results to Determine Whether General and Sub Dimension Scores of the Coping Scale Difference According to the Type of Sports of the Participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>Shg</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crime and War</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Sports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>-2.759</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Sports</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporal Punishment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Sports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>-1.724</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Sports</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner Violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Sports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>-0.710</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Sports</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Attitudes Toward Violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Sports</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>-2.614</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Sports</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05
al. (2017) stated that there was no significant difference in their research according to age factor.

According to the branch of the teachers variable, statistical significant difference was found between branch and total attitude towards violence dimension, branch, crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions from sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence (p < .05). Accordingly, total attitude towards violence of the guide teachers' levels are lower than the physical education and sports teachers and religious culture teachers. Guide teachers’ crime and punishment levels from the sub-dimensions of attitude towards violence scale are lower than physical education and sports teachers', religious culture teachers' and English teachers' levels. Religious culture teachers' corporal punishment levels are higher than the English teachers' and guide teachers' levels, guide teachers' partner violence dimension levels from the sub-dimensions are lower than physical education and sports teachers', religious culture teachers' and English teachers' levels. According to the Mete and his friends' study (2015), there is no statistically meaningful difference about branch of the teachers. Again, this finding is consistent with the studies of Toker Gökce (2006) and Apak (2009). As a result of the independent group t test conducted in order to determine whether the teachers’ total attitude towards violence and the sub-dimension scores show a significant difference according to the variable of teachers' sports situation. Statistically significant difference was found between sports situation and the total attitude towards violence dimension, sports situation and crime and war, corporal punishment and partner violence dimensions from sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence (p < .05). Accordingly, the difference in all dimensions resulted in favor of teachers not doing sports. As a result of the independent group t test conducted in order to determine whether the teachers’ total attitude towards violence and the sub-dimension scores show a significant difference according to the variable of teachers' type of doing sports. Statistically significant difference was found between the type of sports variant and the total attitude towards violence dimension and the type of sports variant and crime and war from sub-dimensions of the attitude towards violence (p < .05). Accordingly, the difference in all dimensions resulted in favor of teachers not doing sports. Accordingly, the difference occurred in favor of the teachers doing team sports in both dimensions. Violence tendency of athletes engaged in individual and team sports in the literature no studies on levels have been found. However, Tutkun et al. (2010) found that individual athletes' aggression scores were higher than team athletes in their research. Koruç and Bayar (1989), on the other hand, stated that men doing individual sports exhibit more aggressive behavior than men doing team sports.

Finally, the results show that teachers' attitudes toward violence are low, teachers' violent crime shouldn't be punished violently, teachers participating in the study have the idea that the habitual disobedience of a student should not be punished physically. And also, teachers think that if one of the partners is not honest with the other, it is not correct to hit him.
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