



Research Paper

Fault detection and diagnosis with modelica language using deep belief network

Accepted 17th July, 2017

ABSTRACT

The air handling unit (AHU) is the main component of heating, ventilation and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems and irregular faults in AHUs are major sources of energy consumption. For energy efficient operation of HVAC, this paper aims to detect and diagnose three abnormal states in the AHU with the popular deep learning model called Deep Belief Network (DBN), where we train it using various data generated by Modelica.

Byoungdoo Lee, Dongkyu Lee and
Deaheom Park

Green Building Research Team, R&D
Division, Hyundai Engineering and
Construction, South Korea.

*Corresponding author: E-mail:
bbdlee@hdec.co.kr

Keywords: Fault detection and diagnosis, air-handling unit, deep belief network, Modelica.

Abbreviations: HVAC: Heating, ventilation and air conditioning; AHU: Air handling unit; FDD: Fault detection and diagnosis; DBN: Deep belief network; SAT: Supply air temperature; RAT: Return air temperature; EAT: Exhausted air temperature; HIAT: Heat exchanger input air temperature; HOAT: Heat exchanger output air temperature; HOWT: Heat exchanger output water temperature; SAP: Supply air fan power; RAP: Return air fan power; SAE: Supply air enthalpy; RAE: Return air enthalpy; OAD: Outdoor air damper; EAD: Exhausted air damper; RAD: Return air damper; OADT: Outdoor air dry-bulb temperature; OAWT: Outdoor air wet-bulb temperature; IT: Indoor temperature; EAF: Exhausted air flow rate; OAF: Outdoor air flow rate; RAF: Return air flow rate; SAF: Supply air flow rate.

INTRODUCTION

There has been a consistent significant increase in the awareness of the importance of control strategies for heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems in the building energy sectors. It is available for use in energy more efficient with great qualities of monitored data and well-operated control components which are essential for achievements of the entire HVAC control systems. Despite its benefits, however, energy wastes are still considered the main disadvantage with HVAC systems and therefore, the development of fault detection and diagnosis (FDD) strategies for energy saving in buildings are considered crucial. With this, there have been many studies about FDD in HVAC systems: Massieh et al. (2012) presented modeling and measurement constraints in fault diagnostics for HVAC systems Zhimin et al. (2008) developed a wavelet neural network-based fault diagnosis in an AHU. The AHU, as one of the main components of HVAC systems, is the heat exchange station between air and water (Zhimin et al,

2008). With this, Modelica is used in the AHU for FDD to identify and prevent application faults and the difficulties they cause.

Generally, the methods of FDD are divided into three different categories which are rules-based, model-based and data-driven methods. The rules-based FDD methods are achieving with expert knowledge and experience rules without any mathematical models (House et al, 2001; Schein et al, 2006). Analysis of detection and diagnosis with checking rules of expert knowledge and experience is accomplished. Contrasting from rules-based methods, model-based FDD methods are attaining based on systematic physical and mathematical models (Salsbury et al, 2001; Yu et al, 2002). This method is achieving detection and diagnosis of abnormal states with comparing real values with data gained from models. Nowadays, data-driven FDD method is adopted to apply due to a lot of data made available for gaining from building energy management system




Figure 1: Process of fault detection and diagnosis using machine learning.

(BEMS). Data-driven FDD method uses historical data to detect and diagnose and include different analysis such as neural network (Wang et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2004), wavelet analysis (Du et al., 2008) and the statistic methods (Du et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009) etc.

The aim of this research is to use a deep belief network (DBN) which is one of data-driven FDD methods achieving detection and diagnosis abnormal states in AHU. Using data-driven FDD, it is important to appropriately use historical data. Therefore, data mining and machine learning are proper method to conduct FDD with historical data. The normal and abnormal data are gathered from the model using Modelica. In this research, proper location and number of sensors are important in conducting FDD system AHU. It is difficult to apply faults in real system through this procedure therefore, Modelica is made use in applications of FDD in AHU like as a real system. Considering the data gained from the model using Modelica, the machine learning framework uses two kinds of data: training data and test data. After machine learning, the DBN is used to detect and diagnosis specific faults. Figure 1 illustrates the detailed process of the entire fault detection and diagnosis procedure.

System description

Typical system of AHU and HVAC

Figure 2 shows a typical HVAC system in a building. Here, the supply air, the mixture of the outdoor air and recycled air exchanges heat and humidity with the chilled water in the AHU. The chilled water coming from the chillers is delivered by the pumps to the AHU. After being cooled down

by the chilled water, the supply air is delivered to each air conditioning zone by the variable-speed supply fan. Moreover, the return air is divided into two streams by the variable-speed return fan: one stream is exhaust air to the outside of the building and the other is recycled in the next air circulation (Zhimin et al., 2014).

The supply fan speed is regulated based on the duct static pressure. The return fan controller tracks the supply fan air flow rate reduced by a fixed offset. The duct static pressure is adjusted so that at least one VAV damper is 90% open. The economizer dampers are modulated to track the set point for the mixed air dry bulb temperature. Priority is given to maintain a minimum outside air volume flow rate. In each zone, the VAV damper is adjusted to meet the room temperature set point for cooling, or fully opened during heating. The room temperature set point for heating is tracked by varying the water flow rate through the reheat coil. There is also a finite state machine that transitions the mode of operation of the HVAC system among the modes: occupied, unoccupied off, unoccupied night set back, unoccupied warm-up and unoccupied pre-cool. In the VAV model, all air flows are computed based on the duct static pressure distribution and the performance curves of the fans. Local bop control is implemented using proportional and proportional-integral controllers, while the supervisory control is implemented using a finite state machine.

To model the heat transfer through the building envelope, a model of five interconnected rooms was used. The five room model is representative of one floor of the new construction medium office building in Seoul, Korea. There are four perimeter zones and one core zone. The thermal room model computes transient heat conduction through walls, floors, ceilings and long-wave radiative heat exchange




Figure 2: Typical Heating, Ventilation and Air-conditioning (HVAC) system in a building

between surfaces. The convective heat transfer coefficient is computed based on the temperature difference between the surface and the room air. There is also a layer-by-layer short-wave radiation, long-wave radiation, and convection and conduction heat transfer model for the windows.

Each thermal zone can have air flow from the HVAC system, through leakages of the building envelope (except for the core zone) and through bidirectional air exchange through open doors that connect adjacent zones. The bidirectional air exchange is modeled based on the differences in static pressure between adjacent rooms at a reference height plus the difference in static pressure across the door height as a function of the difference in air density. There is also wind pressure acting on each facade. The wind pressure is a function of the wind speed and wind direction. Therefore, infiltration is a function of the flow imbalance of the HVAC system and of the wind conditions (ASHRAE, 2006; Deru et al., 2009; Modelica Buildings Library; TARGO, 2006).

Modeling of HVAC System with Modelica

Most researches accomplished FDD through simple amounts of sensors or regardless of real control logic of HVAC system. However, Modelica can make the AHU and HVAC system seem like a real system. Modelica Buildings library carried out the modeling for the HVAC system of a building (Figure 2) in its illustration of specific components of the HVAC system (Figure 3).

Aside from the modeling of the entire system, the operation logic is also necessary to operate using Modelica. As earlier mentioned, this research aims to apply cooling operation only. The operation logic of cooling is expressed as:

1) Cooling logic

- Cooling coil control valve: Proportional integral (PI) control is applied to maintain the temperature of supply air at 16°C (k: 0.01; Ti: 600 s);
- Chiller: Set temperature of chilled water at 8°C;
- Chiller on/off: On: schedule of occupants and temperature range of cooling coil inlet at more than 12°C while Off: temperature range of cooling coil inlet at less than 8°C;
- Cooling circulation pump: PI control to remain pressure of cooling pipe (k: 0.0005, Ti: 100 s, flow rate: 10 kg/s);
- Fan for cooling mode: Unoccupied night set back, unoccupied pre-cool, safety mode;
- Fan for cooling on/off: VAV and PI control to maintain pressure of indoor area at 410 Pa (k: 0.5; Ti: 15 s).

2) Terminal box logic

- Terminal unit dampers: PI control of damper proportion according to the set temperature of the indoor area (k: 0.1; Ti: 120 s).

Modelica testing

This research determined specific data of sensors compared to typical available sensors to check the accuracy of fault detection and diagnosis. Table 1 describes the sensors' 21 kinds of data achieved in the research. Determined data of sensors are applied through Modelica. Figure 4 shows the various but necessary sensors in HVAC with Modelica.

Fault characteristics

The FDD system is applied to modern engineering fields to




Figure 3: HVAC system accomplished by Modelica

Table 1: Sensors generated by Modelica.

S/No.	Data from sensors
1	SAT
2	RAT
3	EAT
4	HIAT
5	HOAT
6	HIWT
7	HOWT
8	SAP
9	RAP
10	SAE
11	RAE
12	OAD
13	EAD
14	RAD
15	OADT
16	OAWT
17	IT
18	EAF
19	OAF
20	RAF
21	SAF




Figure 4: Location of each Sensor in Modelica.

detect and diagnose abnormal conditions, faults, or malfunctions occurring in the routine operations of a system before these situations worsen or lead to additional damage to the entire AHU system. In the classification of faults, those with sensors and controllers are considered as one type only because feedback controllers are normally applied to modern engineering systems that mainly guarantee stability if the controller gains are suitably selected (Yuebin et al., 2014). This research focused on the three common faults of supply fans, valves and heat exchangers. These faults are related to fans getting stuck, leakage of the cooling coil and the low efficiency of the coefficient of performance (COP) of each system. Modelica was used to change the parameters that are commonly used in normal systems.

Instances of supply fan getting stuck

The instance of a fan getting stuck is one of the major problems in the use of supply fans. When a fan gets stuck, flow rates through the fan are decreased. Based on this theory, the instance of a fan getting stuck is achieved by Modelica by decreasing the flow rates at 60% compared to the normal operation of a supply fan. 60% of flow rates in the fan assume that flow rates are decreased when the fan getting stuck. Figure 5 describes the control of the flow rates

of a supply fan.

Leakage in cooling coil valves

Leakage in cooling coil valves gives rise to an abnormal operation state. Modelica language can set the fault of leakage in cooling coil valves. The parameter of leakage value is represented by "L" and shows how to accomplish the change of valve leakage from 0.0001 to 0.1 ($L = K_v(y=0)/K_v(y=1)$ where "y=0" means fully closed state of the valve, "y=1" means fully opened state of the valve. Figure 6 describes the possible method to control the leakage in a cooling coil valve.

Low efficiency of heat exchanger

The heat exchanger of the AHU is located between the return fan and supply fan. When the capacity of the heat exchanger is lower than that in its normal operation, the HVAC system will malfunction. Low thermal conductance means that there is low efficiency between the components of the heat exchanger. In this logic, the fault of the heat exchanger is achieved by Modelica by changing the thermal conductance from 30 to 15 kW. Figure 7 describes how the thermal conductance of the heat exchanger can be changed.




Figure 5: Implementation of supply fan getting stuck with Modelica




Figure 6: Implementation of leakage in cooling coil with Modelica.




Figure 7: Implementation of low efficiency of heat exchanger with Modelica.

Simulation

A total of 21 kinds of data from sensors and their respective simulation with Modelica of normal state and 3 different faults states was achieved in 10 days of the summer period. Normal states of simulation are calibrated based on the logic of operation. With the results of simulation with Modelica, this research achieved various results between the normal state and the three different faults of the HVAC system. Figures 8 to 10 show differences between normal state and three different faults.

However, it is difficult to detect and diagnose various faults not just in the application of results of simulation in various circumstances. This means that one fault of the HVAC system has different effects on the data of sensors compared to other faults. Therefore, machine learning explains the method on how to deal with wide usage involving various fault detection and diagnosis instances.

Fault detection and diagnosis

After the application of results with Modelica as the normal and abnormal data, the fault detection and diagnosis process using machine learning is achieved (Figure 11).

Data are filtered through the pre-process procedure, machine learning with a classifier procedure and fault detection and diagnosis accomplished by the post-process procedure.

Pre-processing

“Pre-processing” is a necessary procedure to minimize several irregular a number of results from sensors of AHU in Modelica due to status of building and environments. First, normalization process is an essential process for the analysis of data gained by Modelica to standardize irregular data which are regardless of times and seasons into regular data. Mean and standard deviation values are attained in normalization process and these values are normalized to distinguish normal from abnormal states. Second, there is a need to binarize all data as a result of the structure of a DBN. DBN only uses binarized data. The binarized data have great effects of accuracy to establish the performance before classification (Masmoudi et al, 2013). After normalization, most data are shown near the value of zero, assuming low frequency when the values are far from zero. In this process, the data are quantized and assigned with their respective bits to be compressed into either 8 or 10 bits. The research assumed that the error rates of 8 and 10 bits are enough to conduct the performance appropriately. As a result, 10 bits of compressibility have better performance compared to others. Therefore, this research applied 10 bits of compressibility of data into fault detection and diagnosis.

Classifier: Deep belief network

“Classification” is the process of fault detection and




Figure 8: Comparison flow rates of return air between normal and instances of supply fan getting stuck with Modelica simulation.




Figure 9: Comparison water temperature of heat exchanger outlet between normal and leakage of valve with Modelica simulation.




Figure 10: Comparison room temperature between normal and heat exchanger with Modelica simulation.




Figure 11: Process of FDD.

diagnosis when the data of the sensors with Modelica are attained. Among the various classification methods such as support vector machines (SVM) and k-nearest neighbors (K-NN) etc. Based on other researches, this research used the “deep learning method,” which is one of the most popular machine learning methods available. Because there is no information on normal or abnormal data of sensors with Modelica using a classifier, this research made use of a deep belief network (DBN) as a classifier, which uses various data to assess whether the data are normal or abnormal. Before using the DBN classifier, durations (number of iterations) and structures are needed to be determined. Tests are repeatedly carried out to attain the appropriate the number

of iterations and structures of the DBN (Table 3).

The structures of DBN are attained from 2-layer models to change the number of nodes of layers. As in the first row of Figure 11, 800/1,600 means that the test conducted 800 nodes of the first layer and 1,600 nodes of the second layer.

Also, this research conducted the number of iterations that is suitable to achieve optimal values. The results of 300 and 1000 as the number of iterations are: 800 to 1,600 of 2-layers and 300 as the number of iterations are appropriate to the application of the DBN. In this research, 2-layers are enough to accomplish the performance due to short-time calculations. Table 2 shows three faults appointed and the results of fault detection and diagnosis.

Table 2: Results of FDD.

Faults	Detection and diagnosis (%)
Supply fan	81
Valve	85
Heat exchanger	99.16

Table 3: Results of FDD considering deferral rates.

Faults	Detection and diagnosis (%)	Deferral rate (%)
Supply fan	95	11
Valve	95	31
Heat exchanger	99.16	0

Post-processing

“Post-processing” is the process that increases the rates of detection and diagnosis, and this is where the deferral rate is set. “Deferral rate” means the instance in which no decision is made as the judgment does not ensure whether which is normal or abnormal. If there is a deferral rate of 11%, 89% of the data are used to determine the test. Table 3 shows the results of the detection and diagnosis rates that take the deferral rates into consideration.

Conclusion

Various researches on fault detection and diagnosis in HVAC systems have been published; however, these studies lack inclusion of data on real sensors and disposal of noises. In addition, actual application of data measurement of simple correlation is difficult despite the use of complicated models and methods. To overcome such limitation, this research used the machine learning method and verified fault detection and diagnosis using specific data with Modelica as a real AHU of HVAC system. The accuracy of the results of this study's fault detection and diagnosis was given an approximate score of above 95%. With this, it is necessary to verify actual data from real buildings for future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Hyundai Engineering and Construction for support rendered.

REFERENCES

- ASHRAE (2006). Sequences of Operation for Common HVAC Systems. ASHRAE, Atlanta, GA.
- Deru M, Field K, Studer D, Benne K, Griffith B, Torcellini P, Halverson M, Winiarski D, Liu B, Rosenberg M, Huang J, Yazdani M, Crawley D (2009). DOE commercial building research benchmarks for commercial buildings. Technical report, U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technologies.
- Geoffrey E. Hinton, Simon Osindero, Yee-Whye The, A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets, *Neural Computation*, 1527-1554, 2006
- House JM, Vaezi-Nejad H, Whitcomb JM (2001). An expert rules set for fault detection in air handling units, *ASHRAE Trans.* 107: 858-871.
- Masmoudi Y, Turkay M, Chabchoub H (2013). A binarization strategy for modelling mixed data in multigroup classification, *Advanced Logistics and Transport*. pp. 345-353.
- Massieh N, Auslander DM, Bartlett PL, Hayes P, Shon MD (2010). Modeling and measurement constraints in fault detection and diagnostics for HVAC systems.
- Modelica Buildings Library developed by LBNL. Modelica library for building energy and control systems. <http://simulationresearch.lbl.gov/modelica>
- Salsbury TI, Dimond RC (2001). Fault detection in HVAC systems using model-based feed forward control, *Energy Build.* 33: 403-415.
- Schein J, Bushby ST, Castro NS, House JM (2006). A rule-based fault detection method for air handling units. *Energy Build.* 38: 1485-1492.
- Top of Alabama Regional Council of Governments. TARGOG (2006). Mathematical models for calculation of thermal performance of glazing systems with our without shading devices, Technical Report, Carli, Inc.
- Yu B, van Passen AHC, Riahy S (2002). General modeling for model-based FDD on building HVAC systems. *Simulat. Pract. Theory.* 9(6-8): 387-397.
- Yuebin Y, Denchai W, Daihong Y (2014). A Review of Fault Detection and Diagnosis Methodologies on Air-handling Units. *Energy and Buildings.* 82: 550-562.
- Zhengwei L (2012). Adaptable, scalable, probabilistic fault detection and diagnostic methods for the HVAC secondary system. Dissertation. Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Zhimin D, Bo F, Xingqiao J, Jinlei C (2014). Fault Detection and Diagnosis for Buildings and HVAC Systems Using Combined Neural Networks and Subtractive Clustering Analysis. *Building and Environment.* 73: 1-11.
- Zhimin D, Singiao J, Yunyu Y (2008). Wavelet neural network based fault diagnosis in air handling unit. 14(6): 959-973

Cite this article as:

Lee B, Lee D, Park D (2017). Fault detection and diagnosis with modelica language using deep belief network. *Acad. J. Environ. Sci.* 5(7): 108-117.

Submit your manuscript at

<http://www.academiapublishing.org/journals/ajes>